1 2 3 4	GIRARD & VINSON, LLP CHRISTIAN M. KEINER, SBN 95144 MICHELLE L. CANNON, SBN 172680 1006 Fourth Street, Eighth Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-3326 Telephone: (916) 446-9292			
	Attorneys for TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT			
5	KRONICK MOSKOVITZ TIEDEMANN & GIRARD			
6	400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor			
7	Sacramento CA 95814-4416 Telephone: (916) 321-4500			
8 9	Attorneys for SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	PLANS, Inc.,	) Case No. CIV. S-98-0266 FCD PAN		
14	Plaintiffs,	) Date: April 1, 2005		
15	v.	) Time: 10:00 a.m. ) Place: Courtroom 2		
16	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY	) ) DECLARATION OF MICHELLE L. ) CANNON IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS IN		
17	SCHOOL DISTRICT, DOES 1-100,	) LIMINE )		
18	Defendants.	)		
19				
20	I, Michelle L. Cannon, declare as follows:			
21	1. I am an attorney at law duly authorized to practice in the United States District			
22	Court, Eastern District of California, and I am one of the attorneys of record for Defendant TWIN			
23	RIDGES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT (hereafter "TRESD").			
24	2. Over the course of this litigation TRESD and SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED			
25	SCHOOL DISTRICT (hereafter "SCUSD") (collectively "Districts") propounded four sets of			
26	interrogatories to Plaintiff requesting names, addresses and telephone numbers for witnesses with			
27	information relevant to Plaintiff's allegations in this case.			
28				
	1			
	DECLARATION OF MICHELLE L. CANNON	CIV. S-98-0266 FCD PAN		

I

Ш 

3. On August 4, 2003, Districts propounded Interrogatories, Set No. 3, on Plaintiff. 1 2 4. Plaintiff failed to adequately respond to these interrogatories and a motion to compel 3 was necessary. 5. 4 The first hearing on the motion to compel was held on December 3, 2003, Magistrate 5 Judge Nowinski presiding. Plaintiff was ordered to provide the requested information and to pay \$625 in sanctions to Districts. 6 7 6. Plaintiff failed to comply with the court's order and a second motion to compel with 8 a request for dismissal was filed by Districts. 9 7. On February 4, 2004, Magistrate Nowinski again ordered Plaintiff to provide a full 10 response to Districts' discovery requests. 11 8. Plaintiff supplied minimal further discovery responses, but has never paid the monetary 12 sanction ordered by the Court. 13 9. Plaintiff's counsel was specifically told by Magistrate Nowinksi at a follow-up order 14 to show cause hearing regarding dismissal on February 25, 2004, that he would not be allowed to call 15 witnesses at trial who were not disclosed to Defendants during discovery. 16 10. Districts propounded two sets of Requests for Production of Documents under 17 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rule 34, requiring the disclosure and production of all documentary 18 evidence supporting Plaintiff's claims in this case. 19 11. Most recently, Districts propounded Request for Production of Documents, Set No. 20 Two, on August 4, 2003. 21 12. Plaintiff failed to adequately respond to this request and produced no documents. 22 13. Thus, a motion to compel was necessary. The first hearing on the motion to compel 23 was held on December 3, 2003, Magistrate Judge Nowinski presiding. 24 14. Plaintiff was ordered to provide the requested information and was ordered to pay \$625 25 in sanctions to Districts. 26 15. Plaintiff failed to comply with the court's order and a second motion to compel with 27 a request for dismissal sanctions was filed by Districts. 28 . . . 2

1	16.	On February 4, 2004, Magistrate Nowinski again ordered Plain	ntiff to provide a full
2	response to Districts' discovery requests.		
3	17.	Plaintiff supplied minimal further discovery responses, but has ne	ver paid the monetary
4	sanction ordered by the court.		
5	18.	Plaintiff's counsel was specifically told by Magistrate Nowinks	i at a third discovery
6	hearing on February 25, 2004, that he would not be allowed to introduce evidence at trial which was		
7	not disclosed to Defendants during discovery.		
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true		
9	and correct and that this declaration was executed this 11th day of March, 2005, at Sacramento,		
10	California.		
11	/s/ Michelle L. Cannon Michelle L. Cannon		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		3	
	DECLARATION OF	3 Michelle L. Cannon	CIV. S-98-0266 FCD PAN